ENCYCLOPEDIA OF ANCIENT GREEK LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS

Volume 3 P–Z, Index

General Editor Georgios K. Giannakis

Associate Editors
Vit Bubenik
Emilio Crespo
Chris Golston
Alexandra Lianeri
Silvia Luraghi
Stephanos Matthaios



LEIDEN • BOSTON 2014

Table of Contents

VOLUME ONE

Introduction	vii
List of Contributors	xi
Table of Contents Ordered by Thematic Category	XV
Transcription, Abbreviations, Bibliography	xxi
List of Illustrations	xxiii
Articles A–F	1
Volume Two	
Transcription, Abbreviations, Bibliography	vii
Articles G-O	1
Volume Three	
Transcription, Abbreviations, Bibliography	vii
Articles P–Z	1
Index	547

506 VOWEL FRONTING

a new close-mid [oː] distinct from inherited /oː/ (so, e.g., Ruipérez 1956). This fronting must have taken place at a date between the first and second compensatory lengthenings, since the second introduced a new [a:] which did not shift. The development had an important impact on the writing system, since East Ionic, which lacked the phoneme /h/, adapted the redundant sign eta <H> to write the new vowel /æː/. An early inscription from Naxos (IG xii.5.21, ca. 650 BCE) preserves this state of affairs in the spelling $\langle KA\Sigma I\Gamma NETH \rangle = Attic kasign\'et\'e$ 'sister', where the epsilon $\langle E \rangle$ is used for inherited $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ and the eta <H> for /æ:/ </a:/. Eventually /æ:/ would merge with $/\epsilon$:/ in \rightarrow Ionic, taking its spelling with it (whence the usual spelling of <H> for $(\epsilon:/)$, and partly also in \rightarrow Attic, except after e, iand r where it merged instead with /a:/.

Overcrowding among the back vowels may also be the motivation for the second main example, the fronting of [uː] to [yː] (a high front vowel with lip-rounding), it being 'pushed' out of the way perhaps by the raising of [oː], spelled ou, to [uː]. If so, the corresponding shift of short [u] to [y] must have been for reasons of symmetry between the long and short vowel systems. Alternatively, the fronting may have happened first (compare Latin [uː] > French [y]), leaving a gap into which [oː] was 'dragged'. From the 6th c. BCE Ionic inscriptions sometimes spell the [au] and [eu] \rightarrow diphthongs ao, eo instead of au, eu, perhaps showing that the change had already taken place. It certainly had by ca. 350 BCE when → Boeotian adopted the Ionic alphabet and used *ou* rather than *u* to spell its own [u, u:] vowels (previously spelled *u*), but conversely u for the equivalent of Attic-Ionic oi, a diphthong which had probably monophthongized in Boeotian to [yː]. The original value [u, uː] of upsilon is preserved in the spellings of presumably onomatopoeic *mukáomai* 'moo' and *kókkux* 'cuckoo', and in the diphthongs au and eu (and before \rightarrow monophthongization also ou).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Allen, W. Sidney. 1987. Vox Graeca. The pronunciation of Classical Greek, 3rd ed. Cambridge.

Ruipérez, Martín S. 1956. "Esquisse d'une histoire du vocalisme grec", Word 12:67–81.

Thompson, R. J. E. 2006. "Long mid vowels in Attic-Ionic and Cretan", CCJ 52:81–101.

RUPERT THOMPSON

Vowels

The vowel system of late fifth-century Classical Attic is well known for its asymmetry, as it has almost twice as many front vowels as back. Considerable debate surrounds this topic, and one is hard pressed to find any two (synchronic or diachronic) accounts of the Attic vowel system that agree in all their details. See Brixhe (1996); Threatte (1980) for the inscriptional evidence; Lejeune (1972), Meier-Brügger (1992), Rix (1992), and Sihler (1995) for diachronic overviews; Sturtevant (1940) and Allen (1987) for a wealth of ideas and data on the phonetic quality of Greek vowels.

The inventory is comprised of twelve vowels. There are four high front vowels /i i: y y:/, which are differentiated by → length (i vs. iː, y vs. yː) and lip rounding (i vs. y, i: vs. y:). There are three mid-front vowels, all of which are unrounded: mid /eː/, which is represented by the digraph <ει> (and in this context is thus a "spurious diphthong"), lower mid $\langle \epsilon \rangle$, and a final short mid-vowel $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$, the phonetic status of which is disputed. It may lie somewhere between /eː/ and /ɛː/ (in which case it would be a mid-front /e/), or it may be equivalent in aperture to one of the long vowels; in light of this uncertainty, I label it simply /e/. (It should be noted that there is considerable variation in the description of these vowels, and in the phonetic symbols used to represent them; e.g., the first and second mid-front vowels are elsewhere referred to as "long tense" and "long lax," respectively, with the corresponding symbols /e/ and /e/.)

There are three mid-back vowels, all of which are rounded: mid /oː/ <ou>, lower mid /ɔː/ <ω>, and the short vowel |o| < 0>, the phonetic status of which is disputed. As with /e/ above, the height of /o/ may correspond to /ɔː/ or /oː/, or may lie in between (i.e., mid-back $\langle o \rangle$). For the most part, /e/ and /o/ behave as the short counterparts of /eː/ and /oː/. Strings such as /ee/ or /oo/, for instance, contract to /eː/ and /oː/, respectively (elsewhere the details are more complex, and involve morphological conditioning: see Allen 1959:246-7; Sommerstein 1973:56-59, 102-104; Probert 2010:97-99). At other times, however, /e/ and /o/ correspond to /ɛː/ and /ɔː/: some verbs with an initial $|e| \rightarrow \text{augment to } /\varepsilon$: (e.g. egeírō 'awaken', pres. egerror, impf. εrgerron <ἤγειρον>), while others augment to /e:/ ($\acute{e}kh\bar{o}$, 'have', pres. ek^h 2:, impf. $e:k^h$ 2 $n < \epsilon \hat{i} \chi \circ \nu >$). These two processes

VOWELS 507

are not merely a question of phonological fusion, however, as there are also morphological and lexical conditions on their operation (see Sommerstein 1973:10–12, 18, 51, 61–3, 181).

Finally, there are two low-central /a/ vowels, which are distinguished by length. Both vowels are written $<\alpha>$; the difference in length can be seen in how they affect \rightarrow syllable weight in meter.

The vowel system undergoes considerable change in the course of the 5th century BCE and the description above is valid only for the late 5th century. During the 5th c., the most significant development is the fronting and rounding of earlier /u u:/ to /y y:/ (when exactly this change takes place is a matter of debate; Threatte 1980:337, for instance, places it later). This is followed by a later change in which /o:/, written <ou>, is raised to /u:/ (see Samuels 2006) (→ Vowel Fronting; → Vowel Changes).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Allen, W. Sidney. 1959. "Some remarks on the structure of Greek vowel systems", *Word* 15:240–51.

Allen, W. Sidney. 1987. Vox Graeca: the pronunciation of Classical Greek. 3rd ed. Cambridge.

Brixhe, Claude. 1996. Phonétique et phonologie du grec ancien. Louvain-la-Neuve.

Lejeune, Michel. 1972. Phonétique historique de Mycénien et du grec ancien. Paris.

Lupaş, Liana. 1972. Phonologie du grec attique. The Hague.
 Meier-Brügger, Michael. 1992. Griechische Sprachwissenschaft, vol. 2. Berlin – New York.

Probert, Philomen. 2010. "Phonology". In: *A companion to the Ancient Greek language*, ed. by Egbert J. Bakker, 85–103.

Boston – Leiden.

Rix, Helmut. 1992. Historische Grammatik des Griechischen: Laut- und Formenlehre. Darmstadt.

Samuels, Bridget. 2006. "Problems in Attic phonology". Paper presented at the 4th Annual Harvard Linguistics Undergraduate Colloquium. http://ling.umd.edu/~bridget/pdfs/attic.pdf.

Sihler, Andrew. 1995. New comparative grammar of Greek and Latin. Oxford – New York.

Sommerstein, Alan H. 1973. The sound pattern of Ancient Greek. Oxford.

Sturtevant, Edgar H. 1940. *The pronunciation of Greek and Latin*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia.

Teodorsson, Sven-Tage. 1974. The phonemic system of the Attic dialect 400–340 B.C. Lund.

Threatte, Leslie. 1980. *The grammar of Attic inscriptions*, vol. 1: *Phonology*. Berlin – New York.

DAVID GOLDSTEIN